Abstract

Systems Engineering research takes place in close cooperation with industrial companies. This document describes a Conduct of Behavior for Confidentiality of information from the company where the research takes place. Also a Publication Procedure is described.
Confidentiality of Information

All information exchanged between researcher and company is to be treated as confidential.

Academic supervisors are not allowed to make any confidential information public without permission of the company.

Exception is information that was already known to the supervisor or is already public.
Publications will always be reviewed by the company where the research has been done.

The review identifies confidential or sensitive issues in the concept paper.

All confidential and sensitive issues have to be solved before the paper can be published.

Companies appoint a contact person who will ensure timely review by the company.
Examples of Issues to be Identified by Review

Business, customer, organizational, or technical confidential information

- market
- name or product
- department size
- choice of technology

Not (yet) protected intellectual property

"we use new high pressure sealing concept"

Negative image

"our company does skip reviews"
Identify issues as specific as possible

Suggestions to resolve issues are welcome, but don't prescribe solutions

Detection of content quality problem are welcome, but not the main purpose of the company review.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>author submits paper that has been reviewed by supervisors</td>
<td>we recommend to submit the concept at least 4 weeks before publication dead line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contact person ensures review within 2 weeks</td>
<td>these steps may be iterated a few times</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>author solves all identified issues</td>
<td>this step normally takes a few days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>author resubmits revised paper</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contact person gives permission for publication when all issues are solved satisfactory</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1. the author makes an evaluation/review of the paper to identify potential changes (to remove confidential or sensitive information); this should be a short report with clear notes.

2. the paper and this short report are submitted to the person responsible for the review process.

3. KM need 4-6 weeks to ensure a qualitative review.