

Module 31, Architectural Reasoning Conceptual Design

by *Gerrit Muller* University of South-Eastern Norway-NISE

e-mail: `gaudisite@gmail.com`

`www.gaudisite.nl`

Abstract

This module conceptual design methods, such as budgeting and concept selection.

Distribution

This article or presentation is written as part of the Gaudí project. The Gaudí project philosophy is to improve by obtaining frequent feedback. Frequent feedback is pursued by an open creation process. This document is published as intermediate or nearly mature version to get feedback. Further distribution is allowed as long as the document remains complete and unchanged.

September 9, 2018

status: preliminary

draft

version: 1.1



Modeling and Analysis: Budgeting

by *Gerrit Muller* TNO-ESI, HSN-NISE

e-mail: gaudisite@gmail.com

www.gaudisite.nl


Abstract

This presentation addresses the fundamentals of budgeting: What is a budget, how to create and use a budget, what types of budgets are there. What is the relation with modeling and measuring.

Distribution

This article or presentation is written as part of the Gaudí project. The Gaudí project philosophy is to improve by obtaining frequent feedback. Frequent feedback is pursued by an open creation process. This document is published as intermediate or nearly mature version to get feedback. Further distribution is allowed as long as the document remains complete and unchanged.

September 9, 2018
status: preliminary
draft
version: 1.0



content of this presentation

What and why of a budget

How to create a budget (decomposition, granularity, inputs)

How to use a budget

What is a Budget?


*A budget is
a quantified instantiation of a model*

*A budget can
prescribe or describe the contributions
by parts of the solution
to the system quality under consideration*

Why Budgets?

- to make the design explicit
- to provide a baseline to take decisions
- to specify the requirements for the detailed designs
- to have guidance during integration
- to provide a baseline for verification
- to manage the design margins explicitly


Visualization of Budget Based Design Flow



Stepwise Budget Based Design Flow

step	example
1A measure old systems	micro-benchmarks, aggregated functions, applications
1B model the performance starting with old systems	flow model and analytical model
1C determine requirements for new system	response time or throughput
2 make a design for the new system	explore design space, estimate and simulate
3 make a budget for the new system:	models provide the structure measurements and estimates provide initial numbers specification provides bottom line
4 measure prototypes and new system	micro-benchmarks, aggregated functions, applications profiles, traces
5 Iterate steps 1B to 4	


Budgets Applied on Waferstepper Overlay



Budgets Applied on Medical Workstation Memory Use


<i>memory budget in Mbytes</i>	code	obj data	bulk data	total
shared code	11.0			11.0
User Interface process	0.3	3.0	12.0	15.3
database server	0.3	3.2	3.0	6.5
print server	0.3	1.2	9.0	10.5
optical storage server	0.3	2.0	1.0	3.3
communication server	0.3	2.0	4.0	6.3
UNIX commands	0.3	0.2	0	0.5
compute server	0.3	0.5	6.0	6.8
system monitor	0.3	0.5	0	0.8
application SW total	13.4	12.6	35.0	61.0
UNIX Solaris 2.x				10.0
file cache				3.0
total				74.0

Power Budget Visualization for Document Handler




legend

physical layout




size proportional to power

Alternative Power Visualization



Evolution of Budget over Time



Potential Applications of Budget based design

- resource use (CPU, memory, disk, bus, network)
- timing (response, latency, start up, shutdown)
- productivity (throughput, reliability)
- Image Quality parameters (contrast, SNR, deformation, overlay, DOF)
- cost, space, time

What kind of budget is required?

static	dynamic
typical case	worst case
global	detailed
approximate	accurate

is the budget based on
wish, empirical data, extrapolation,
educated guess, or expectation?

Summary of Budgeting

A budget is a quantified instantiation of a model

A budget can prescribe or describe the contributions by parts of the solution to the system quality under consideration

A budget uses a decomposition in tens of elements

The numbers are based on historic data, user needs, first principles and measurements

Budgets are based on models and estimations

Budget visualization is critical for communication

Budgeting requires an incremental process

Many types of budgets can be made; start simple!

The Boderc project contributed to Budget Based Design. Especially the work of *Hennie Freriks, Peter van den Bosch (Océ), Heico Sandee and Maurice Heemels (TU/e, ESI)* has been valuable.

Make a **technical budget** for one of the **key performance parameters**.

- a good budget has 20 to 30 contributing elements
- elements should be balanced (remove or combine insignificant contributions)
- use the previously defined parts and dynamic behavior

Concept Selection, Set Based Design and Late Decision Making

by *Gerrit Muller* University of South-Eastern Norway-NISE

e-mail: `gaudisite@gmail.com`

`www.gaudisite.nl`


Abstract

We discuss a systems design approach where several design options are maintained concurrently. In LEAN Product Development this is called set-based design. Conventional systems engineering also promotes the concurrent evaluation of multiple concepts, the so-called concept selection. Finally, LEAN product development advocates to keep options open as long as feasible; the so-called late decision making.


Distribution

This article or presentation is written as part of the Gaudí project. The Gaudí project philosophy is to improve by obtaining frequent feedback. Frequent feedback is pursued by an open creation process. This document is published as intermediate or nearly mature version to get feedback. Further distribution is allowed as long as the document remains complete and unchanged.

September 9, 2018
status: planned
version: 0




Problem Solving Approach



Examples of Pugh Matrix Application


Swivel concept selection



evaluation criteria	weight	CBV		clamp		dynamic	
Maturity	10	5	50	2	20	2	50
Development level							
Cost	20	4	80	2	40	5	100
Hardware cost							
Development cost		5	100	2	40	2	40
Design robustness	25						
Design life							
swivel cycles		5	125	3	75	3	75
pressure cycles		5	125	4	100	5	125
Pressure range							
internal		4	100	4	100	4	100
external		2	50	5	125	2	50
Temperature range	4	100	4	100	4	100	
Installation	20						
Initial installatio/retrieval		2	40	3	60	4	80
Connection/disconnection		2	40	4	80	5	100
Operation	25						
Swivel resistance		1	25	4	100	5	125
Spool Length Short		1	25	4	100	5	125
Spool Length Long		3	75	5	125	5	125
Hub loads		2	50	4	100	5	125
Σ points				985		1165	

from master paper Halvard Bjørnsen, 2009


EDP-LRP connection



Evaluation Criteria	Score	Concepts			
		1	2	3	4
Time to connect					
Need for ROV		-	+	+	+
Design		-	+	+	+
Robustness					
Connector design		-	S	S	+
Number of parts		-	-	+	+
Handle roll-off		+	-	S	+
Influence other		+	S	-	S
Redundancy					
Design		+	-	-	S
Interchangeability		+	-	-	-
Cost					
HW cost		-	-	-	-
Manufacturing cost		S	S	-	S
Engineering cost		+	-	S	-
Service cost		-	+	+	+
Maturity					
		-	-	S	+
Σ -		7	7	5	3
Σ S		1	3	4	3
Σ +		5	3	4	7
Pos.		3	4	2	1

from master paper Dag Jostein Klever, 2009

Evolution of Design Options



Evolving multiple concepts increases insight and understanding
(LEAN product development: set-based design, SE: Pugh matrix)

Articulation of criteria sharpens evaluation










The discussion about the Pugh matrix is more valuable than final
bottomline summation

Delaying decisions may help to keep options (Lean Product
Development: late decision making, finance: real options)

Exercise Concept Selection


Make a **decision matrix** for one of the **concept selections**.

- define at least 3 concepts
- define 7 to 10 criteria for selection
- score the concepts against the criteria, for example using a scale from 1 to 5: 1 = very poor, 5 = very good
- recommend a concept with a rationale


	concept 1 	concept 2 	concept 3 
criterion 1			
criterion n			
			best, because ...

Budgeting

Budget: Decomposition of Contributions



plus Models, Measurements, Estimates




Tens of (Measurable) Numbers

memory budget in Mbytes	code	obj data	bulk data	total
shared code	11.0			11.0
User Interface process	0.3	3.0	12.0	15.3
database server	0.3	3.2	3.0	6.5
print server	0.3	1.2	9.0	10.5
optical storage server	0.3	2.0	1.0	3.3
communication server	0.3	2.0	4.0	6.3
UNIX commands	0.3	0.2	0	0.5
compute server	0.3	0.5	6.0	6.8
system monitor	0.3	0.5	0	0.8
application SW total	13.4	12.6	35.0	61.0
UNIX Solaris 2.x				10.0
file cache				3.0
total				74.0

intentionally left blank

Concept Selection and Evolution

Understand Problem, Analyze, Decide, Monitor



Concept Selection: Pugh Matrix

Swivel concept selection

EDP-LRP connection


evaluation criteria	weight	CBV	clamp	dynamic
Maturity	10	5	2	2
Development level		50	20	50
Cost	20	4	2	5
Hardware cost		80	40	100
Development cost		100	40	40
Design robustness	25	5	3	3
Design life		125	75	75
swivel cycles		5	4	5
pressure cycles		125	100	125
Pressure range		4	4	4
external		2	5	2
internal		4	100	4
Temperature range		4	100	4
Installation	20	2	3	4
Initial installatio/retrieval		40	60	80
Connection/disconnection		2	4	5
Operation	25	1	4	5
Swivel resistance		25	100	125
Spool Length Short		3	75	125
Spool Length Long		2	50	100
Hub loads		2	50	100
Σ points		985	1165	1290

Evaluation Criteria	Score	Concepts			
		1	2	3	4
Time to connect	-	+	+	+	
Need for ROV	-	+	+	+	
Design	-	+	+	+	
Robustness	-	S	S	+	
Connector design	-	-	+	+	
Number of parts	+	-	S	+	
Handle roll-off	+	S	-	S	
Influence other	+	S	-	S	
Redundancy	+	-	-	S	
Design	+	-	-	S	
Interchangeability	+	-	-	-	
Cost	-	-	-	-	
HW cost	-	-	-	-	
Manufacturing cost	S	S	-	S	
Engineering cost	+	-	S	-	
Service cost	-	+	+	+	
Maturity	-	-	S	+	
Σ-		7	7	5	3
Σ S		1	3	4	3
Σ +		5	3	4	7
Pos.		3	4	2	1

from master paper Halvard Bjørnsen, 2009

from master paper Dag Jostein Klever, 2009

Evolution of design Options



intentionally left blank